

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,460	02/09/2000	Steven M, Lloyd	TPP 30555A	2644
759	90 03/18/2002			
Thomas P Pavelko Esq			EXAMINER	
	Ailler & Mosher L L P		MULCAHY,	PETER D
Suite 850	2 20026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DO	20030		1713	lu
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	$\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{H}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio.gov

	<i>:</i>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,460	02/09/2000	Steven M, Lloyd	TPP 30555A	2644
75	90 12/13/2001			
Thomas P Pavelko Esq			EXAMINER	
1615 L Street N	Miller & Mosher L L P W		MULCAHY, PETER D	
Suite 850 Washington, DO	C 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5</i> ,			1713	W.
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2001	
			remailed	
			3/18	102

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>→</b> •		•		MEIN
ι .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		09/500,460	LLOYD ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	September 2001 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the conditions of the condition of the conditio			ne merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 11-16 and 24-36 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-36 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		`
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🛛	Claim(s) 11-16 and 24-30 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No	
*	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		l annlication)
_	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	een received.	і аррііовноп).
ااردا Attachmer	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. 33 120 and/or 121.	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	(e)
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-092) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit 1713

Newly submitted claims 31-36 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: These claims are directed to product transfer apparatus which has a specific structure and is seen to be patentably distinct from the unspecified transfer apparatus which is simply defined by the film composition.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 31-36 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims are simply defined by the functional materials and the "discharge incendivity test". The claim essentially reads on any conceivable composition falling within the test limitation.

Applicants' specification is clearly not supportive of every conceivable composition which would contain an olefin resin and pass this test as defined in the specification. As such, these claims are seen to be broader than the enabling disclosure.

Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-16 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or (e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over any one of Fukui et al., Azuma et al. or Hayes.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 102/103 over Hayes in Paper No. 9 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Art Unit 1713

Applicants argue that the patent fails to show the property limitation as now claimed and the specifically claimed proportions are not shown. This is not persuasive. The property limitation is presumed to be inherently possessed or rendered obvious by the compositions as shown in Hayes given the fact that Hayes teaches the same ingredients to be added in the amount as claimed. Applicants' attention is directed to column 8 lines 34-68 where the ingredients are shown and the amounts of the ingredients are shown and these are seen to anticipate the amounts as instantly claimed.

Azuma et al. also shows olefinic resins which have incorporated therein applicants' instantly claimed antistatic agents. See specifically the Abstract as well as column 4 line 54 - column 5 line 50. In view of this disclosure, applicants' claims are not novel.

The Fukui et al. patent also teaches films which have incorporated applicants' instantly claimed antistatic agents. See specifically columns 4 and 5. In view of this disclosure, applicants' claims are not novel. Applicants should note that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is proper when the references disclose all the limitations of a claim except the property of function. The Examiner cannot determine whether or not the references inherently possess properties which either anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has the basis for

Art Unit 1713

shifting the burden of proof to applicant. See specifically <u>In</u> re Fitzgerald et al. 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980).

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Art Unit 1713

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc December 3, 2001

PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500